Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MF requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	PCA) For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system own within 15 days	ner
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/25/17	Ŷ
	ncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance e Upgrade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent the Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Immine Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect group Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect group Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	ent threat to public health and safety ndwater to protect groundwater undwater
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp	/Range:
	son for inspection:
	ner's phone:
Owner's representative: Rep	resentative phone:
Local regulatory authority: KANASEC LO. Reg Brief system description: SEPTIL TANK, LIFYTANK Commonto or recommondations.	ulatory authority phone:
Brief system description: SEPTIC TANK, LIFYTANK Comments or recommendations:	, MOUNA
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unpossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	the compliance status of this system. No known conditions during system construction,
Inspector name: BUTCH HOHLEN Cert	ification number: 2068
	License number: 187
Inspector signature: But 7 June	Phone number: 412-390-6607
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	per local ordinance
Other information (list):	

Prop	erty address: 2277 183 A	NE	Inspector initials/Date: BH 5/25/17	
1.	Impact on Public Health – Co	mpliance componen	t #1 of 5	
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	Yes No	Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes	
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☑ No	Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) "Black soil" above soil dispersal system	
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes M No	System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test	
	Any "yes" answer above indicate	s" answer above indicates the system is unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) The total distribution of the control of		
	Comments/Explanation:			
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance col	mponent #2 of 5		
-				
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	Yes No	Probed tank(s) bottom	
	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		 Examined construction records 	
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 🔯 No	Observed liquid level below operating depth	
	designed operating depth.	1103	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
			Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
	Comments/Explanation:			
2	Other Compliance Condition	E - Compliance con	encepent #3 of 5	
3.				
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety			
	Explain:			
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater			
	Explain:			

4. Soil Separation — Compliance component #4 of 5 Date of installation: Unknown Verification method(s): Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage ☐ Yes ☐ No Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil Lodging? observations by two independent parties are sufficient. Compliance criteria: unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and ☐ Yes ☐ No Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food. Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) beverage or lodging establishment: ■ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) separation distance from periodically Other (See Comments/Explanation) saturated soil or bedrock. Non-performance systems built April 1, Yes No Comments/Explanation: 1996, or later or for non-performance 0-10 L 104R 3/2 systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead 10-245L104R414 Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: 24-MOTTLING Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No Indicate depths of elevations systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. A. Bottom of distribution media 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock Drainfield meets the designed vertical C. System separation separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. D. Required compliance separation* Any "no" answer above indicates the system is *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Failing to Protect Groundwater. Ordinance. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* - Compliance component #5 of 5 ☐ Not applicable is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria a: Operating Permit number: Yes No Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law,